IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

In re:)
) Chapter 11
NEIMAN MARCUS GROUP LTD LLC, et al., 1) Coso No. 20. 22510 (DPI)
Reorganized Debtors.) Case No. 20-32519 (DRJ)
Reorganized Deotors.) (Jointly Administered)
)

LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S TWENTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (WAIVED CLAIMS)

THIS IS AN OBJECTION TO YOUR CLAIM. THE OBJECTING PARTY IS ASKING THE COURT TO DISALLOW YOUR CLAIM IN THESE BANKRUPTCY CASES. YOU SHOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE OBJECTING PARTY TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE. IF YOU DO NOT REACH AN AGREEMENT, YOU MUST FILE A RESPONSE TO THIS OBJECTION AND SEND A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE TO THE OBJECTING PARTY WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE OBJECTION WAS SERVED ON YOU. YOUR RESPONSE MUST STATE WHY THE OBJECTION IS NOT VALID. IF YOU DO NOT FILE A RESPONSE WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE OBJECTION WAS SERVED ON YOU, YOUR CLAIM MAY BE DISALLOWED WITHOUT A HEARING.

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW CERTAIN CLAIMS. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON SCHEDULE 1 TO EXHIBIT B ATTACHED TO THIS OBJECTION.

The Reorganized Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Reorganized Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Neiman Marcus Group LTD LLC (9435); Bergdorf Goodman Inc. (5530); Bergdorf Graphics, Inc. (9271); BG Productions, Inc. (3650); Mariposa Borrower, Inc. (9015); Mariposa Intermediate Holdings LLC (5829); NEMA Beverage Corporation (3412); NEMA Beverage Holding Corporation (9264); NEMA Beverage Parent Corporation (9262); NM Bermuda, LLC (2943); NM Financial Services, Inc. (2446); NM Nevada Trust (3700); NMG California Salon LLC (9242); NMG Florida Salon LLC (9269); NMG Global Mobility, Inc. (0664); NMG Notes PropCo LLC (1102); NMG Salon Holdings LLC (5236); NMG Salons LLC (1570); NMG Term Loan PropCo LLC (0786); NMG Texas Salon LLC (0318); NMGP, LLC (1558); The Neiman Marcus Group LLC (9509); The NMG Subsidiary LLC (6074); and Worth Avenue Leasing Company (5996). The Reorganized Debtors' service address is: One Marcus Square, 1618 Main Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

The trustee (the "Liquidating Trustee") for the Liquidating GUC Trust² in the chapter 11 cases of the above-captioned reorganized debtors (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors," and prior to the Effective Date, the "Debtors") respectfully states as follows in support of this omnibus claims objection (this "Objection"), and submits the Declaration of William B. Murphy in Support of the Liquidating Trustee's Twenty-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims (Waived Claims), attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Murphy Declaration").

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case and this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B). Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409(a).
- 2. The predicates for the relief requested herein are section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").

Relief Requested

3. The Liquidating Trustee seeks entry of an order (the "Order") (i) disallowing and expunging or (ii) reducing and allowing each claim identified on Schedule 1 to the Order (each a "Waived Claim," and collectively, the "Waived Claims") because each claim has been waived, in whole or in part, pursuant to a critical vendor agreement.

General Background

4. On May 7, 2020 (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition with this Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

² Capitalized terms but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to such terms in *Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code* (the "<u>Plan</u>") [Docket No. 1793].

- 5. On June 1, 2020, the Court entered the Final Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Pay Certain Prepetition Claims of (A) Critical Vendors, (B) Lien Claimants, (C) Customs and Regulatory Claimants, and (D) 503(B)(9) Claimants, (II) Confirming Administrative Expense Priority of Outstanding Orders, and (III) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 708] (the "Critical Vendor Order").
- 6. On September 4, 2020, the Court confirmed the Plan by entering the *Order Confirming the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code* [Docket No. 1795] (the "Confirmation Order").
- 7. On September 25, 2020, the effective date of the Plan occurred (the "<u>Effective Date</u>") and the Liquidating GUC Trust was established pursuant to the Plan, the Confirmation Order, and the Liquidating Trust Agreement. *See* Liquidating Tr. Agmt. § 2.1.1; Confirmation Order ¶ 81; Plan art. IV, § D.6. The Plan authorizes the Liquidating Trustee to, among other things, file objections to general unsecured claims. *See* Plan art. IV, § D.6.

The Claims Reconciliation Process

- 8. On June 19, 2020, the Debtors filed their schedules of assets and liabilities and statements of financial affairs [Docket Nos. 905-952]. Debtor Neiman Marcus Group LTD LLC subsequently filed certain amended schedules of assets and liabilities [Docket Nos. 1848, 1889].
- 9. On June 25, 2020, the Court entered its Order (I) Setting Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim, Including Requests for Payment Under Section 503(b)(9), (II) Establishing Amended Schedules Bar Date and Rejection Damages Bar Date, (III) Approving the Form of and Matter for Filing Proofs of Claim, Including Section 503(b)(9) Requests, (IV) Approving Notice of Bar Date, and (V) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 1014].
- 10. On June 26, 2020, the Debtors served their Notice of Deadlines for Filing Proofs of Claim, Including Requests for Payment Pursuant to Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code

[Docket No. 1091], which provided notice that the deadline for all entities, other than Governmental Units, to file proofs of claim was July 20, 2020. The deadline for Governmental Units to file proofs of claims was 180 days after the Petition Date, or November 3, 2020.

- Objection Procedures and Filing of Substantive Omnibus Claims Objections, (B) Waiving the Requirement of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e)(6), and (C) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 1790] (the "Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures Order"). The Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures Order authorizes the Liquidating GUC Trust to file and prosecute omnibus claims objections. See Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures Order ¶ 2.
- 12. On March 23, 2021, the Court entered the *Order Granting Motion of Liquidating Trustee and Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Extending the Deadline to Object to Claims to September 20, 2021* [Docket 2352].
- 13. Over 3,500 unsecured claims were listed in the Debtors' schedules of assets and liabilities. The Liquidating Trustee and its advisors, in coordination with the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors, have been working diligently to analyze these claims. For the reasons set forth below and in the Murphy Declaration, the Liquidating Trustee has determined that the Waived Claims should be disallowed in their entirety.

Basis for Relief

14. Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, as follows: "[a] claim or interest, proof of which is filed under section 501 of [the Bankruptcy Code], is deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). A proof of claim or interest is deemed filed under section 501 for a claim or interest that appears in the schedules filed under section 521(a)(1) or 1106(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, except a claim or interest that is scheduled

as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated 11 U.S.C. § 1111(a). Further, Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the court "shall determine the amount of such claim…as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount, except to the extent that—such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and the property of the debtor…" 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1).

- Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) until such time that an objecting party refutes at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency. *Starnes v. U.S. (In re Starnes)*, 231 B.R. 903, 912 (N.D. Tex. 1998). Once an allegation is refuted, "the burden shifts to the claimant to prove by a preponderance of the evidence." *In re Cong., LLC*, 529 B.R. 213, 219 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 2015); *see also Cavu/Rock Props. Project I, LLC v. Gold Star Constr., Inc. (In re Cavu/Rock Props. Project I, LLC)*, 516 B.R. 414, 422 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 2014). "The ultimate burden of proof always lies with the claimant." *In re Armstrong*, 347 B.R. 581, 583 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2006).
- 16. An objection to a claim must be made in writing, and the claimant must be provided with not less than thirty days' notice of the hearing to be held in respect of such objection. *See* FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(a). Objections may be joined in an omnibus objection if such objections are based on the grounds that the claims should be disallowed, in whole or in part, for any of eight enumerated reasons. *See* FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(d). In addition, the Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures Order provides that claims, including scheduled claims, may be included in an omnibus objection for "Additional Grounds," which, as specified in the underlying motion, includes claims for which the Debtors are not liable. *See* Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures Order ¶¶ 2, 9.

The Waived Claims

17. As set forth in the Murphy Declaration, the Liquidating Trustee and its advisors, in coordination with the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors, have determined that each Waived Claim was waived, in whole or in part, pursuant to a critical vendor agreement executed by the relevant claimant. *See* Murphy Decl. ¶ 3. Specifically, the Liquidating Trustee reviewed the critical vendor matrix maintained by the Reorganized Debtors and its advisors in accordance with the Critical Vendor Order to identify creditors with claims against the Debtors for sums that were expressly waived pursuant to a critical vendor agreement.³ After identifying and compiling a list of such claimants, the Liquidating Trustee and its advisors shared the list with the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors, who confirmed that the Waived Claims were, in fact, waived, in whole or in part, pursuant to a critical vendor agreement.

18. Therefore, failure to disallow the Waived Claims could result in the applicable claimant receiving an unwarranted recovery against the Liquidating GUC Trust to the detriment of similarly situated creditors. *See* Murphy Decl. ¶ 3. The relief requested herein is necessary to prevent any inappropriate distribution of estate funds and to facilitate the administration of the claims allowance process. Accordingly, the Liquidating Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter the Order (i) disallowing and expunging or (ii) reducing and allowing the Waived Claims identified on <u>Schedule 1</u> to the Order.

As set forth in the *Debtors'* Emergency Motion For Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Pay Certain Prepetition Claims of (A) Critical Vendors, (B) Lien Claimants, (C) Customs and Regulatory Claimants, and (D) 503(b)(9) Claimants, (II) Confirming Administrative Expense Priority of Outstanding Orders, and (III) Granting Related Relief (the "Critical Vendor Motion") [Docket No. 41] the Debtors maintain a matrix summarizing amounts paid in the ordinary course of business on account of prepetition amounts owed to certain essential vendors and service providers. This matrix included the following information: (a) the names of the payee; (b) the amount of the payment; (c) the category or type of payment as further described and classified in the Critical Vendor Motion; (d) the Debtor or Debtors that made the payment; and (e) the payment date. Id. ¶ 9.

Reservation of Rights

- 19. In the event that any of the Waived Claims are not disallowed or reduced on the grounds asserted herein, the Liquidating Trustee hereby reserves its rights to object to such claims on any other grounds. Additionally, the Liquidating Trustee expressly reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement the objections asserted herein, and to file additional objections to Waived Claims or any other claims that may be asserted against the Debtors or from which a recovery is otherwise sought from the Liquidating GUC Trust.
- 20. Nothing contained herein or any actions taken pursuant to such relief is intended or should be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any prepetition claim against a Debtor entity or such Debtor entity's estate; (b) a waiver of any party's right to dispute any prepetition claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any prepetition claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any order granting the relief requested by this Objection; (e) a request or authorization to assume any prepetition agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver of the Liquidating Trustee's rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

Separate Contested Matter

21. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any Waived Claim and the Liquidating Trustee is unable to resolve any such response, each such Waived Claim, and the Objection as it pertains to such Waived Claim, will constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Further, the Liquidating Trustee requests that any order entered by the Court regarding an objection or other reply asserted in response to this Objection be deemed a separate order with respect to each proof of claim.

Notice

22. Notice of this Objection has been given to: (a) all parties on the Master Service List; and (b) holders of the Waived Claims. The Liquidating Trustee respectfully submits that such notice is sufficient and proper under the circumstances and that no other or further notice is required.

WHEREFORE, the Liquidating Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter the Order granting the relief requested herein, and such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: May 25, 2021 /s/ Michael D. Warner

> Michael D. Warner (TX Bar No. 00792304) Benjamin L. Wallen (TX Bar No. 24102623) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

440 Louisiana Street, Suite 900

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 691-9385 Facsimile: (713) 691-9407 Email: mwarner@pszjlaw.com bwallen@pszjlaw.com

Counsel for the Liquidating GUC Trust and Mohsin Meghji, in his capacity as trustee of the Liquidating GUC Trust